CASE FILE COPIECENICAL NOTES TREADERS AND TECHNICAL NOTES TREADERS AND TREADERS ON A BRONAUTICS OF A READERS AND REALLY

No. 659

CASE FILE COPY

WIND-TUNNEL TESTS OF THREE LATERAL-CONTROL DEVICES IN

COMBINATION WITH A FULL-SPAN SLOTTED FLAP ON AN

N.A.C.A. 23012 AIRFOIL

By Carl J. Wenzinger and Millard J. Bamber Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory

PROFERTY FAIRCHILD

NG LIBRARY

delle Morroch (1945) e l'alta della construcció

i dan kutanan menjadi kalendar menjadi k

一 二 三 三 二 二 三 三 三

E Ī in and d

Washington August 1938

digital and company of the

\mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max}

 \mathbb{R}^2

 $\label{eq:1} \begin{split} \text{where} \; \mathbf{r} &\mapsto \mathbf{r} \; \mathbf{r} \$ $\frac{1}{2}$

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

TECHNICAL NOTE NO. 659

v

Σ.

v

WIND-TUNNEL TESTS OF THREE LATERAL-CONTROL DEVICES IN

COMBINATION WITH A FULL-SPAN SLOTTED FLAP ON AN

N.A.C.A. 23012 AIRFOIL

By Carl J. Wenzinger and Millard J. Bamber

SUMMARY

A large-chord N.A.C.A. 23012 airfoil was tested in the closed-throat 7- by 10-foot wind tunnel. The airfoil extended completely across the test section, and twodimensional flow was approximated. The model was fitted with a full-span slotted flap having a chord 25.66 percent of the airfoil chord. The ailerons investigated extended over the entire span and each had a chord lO percent of the airfoil chord. The types of ailerons tested were: retractable ailerons, slot-lip ailerons using the lip of the slot for ailerons, and plain ailerons on the trailing edge of the slotted flap.

The data are presented in the form of curves of section lift, drag, and pitching-moment coefficients for the airfoil with flap deflected but with ailerons neutral, and of rolling-moment, yawing-moment, and hinge-moment coefficients calculated for a rectangular wing of aspect ratio 6 with a semispan aileron and a full-span flap.

For the ailerons investigated the data indicate that, from considerations of rolling and yawing moments produced and of stick forces desired, the retractable aileron is the most satisfactory means of lateral control for use with a full-span slotted flap.

INTRODUCTION

Many types of trailing-edge flap have been developed for producing high lift coefficients. These flaps usually extend over only the inboard section of the wing because the outer portion is required for lateral-control devices.

N.A.C,A. Technical Note No. 659

±

 \mathbf{S}

With such an arrangement, the average lift for the entire wing is less and the drag is more for a given lift than it would be if the flap extended over the entire span. The increase in the lift-drag ratio obtained with full-span flaps over that with partial-span flaps is especially important for the condition of take-off with flaps deflected. $\ddot{ }$

m_ o

The purpose of the present investigation was to determine the effectiveness of various lateral-control devices when used with a full-span flap. An arrangement of the full-span slotted flap reported in references I and 2 was used because that flap appears to be one of the most promising high-lift devices developed up to the present time.

Three types of aileron were investigated:

1. Slot-lip (references 3 and 4).- The lip of the flap slot was hinged to move up so as to change the slot shape and also to act as a "spoiler" on the upper surface of the airfoil.

2. Plain.- The trailing edge of the slotted flap was hinged to move as a plain aileron.

 $3.$ Retractable (reference 5).- A curved plate was installed that moved out of the upper surface of the airfoil ahead of the flap to act as a "spoiler."

APPARATUS AND TESTS

Model

The airfoil was built to the N.A.C.A. 23012 profile with a mahogany nosepiece, a pine flap and slot form, and the intermediate section of ribs was covered with tempered waterproofed wallboard. The model has a 3-foot chord and a V-foot span. The chord of the flap, which extended along the entire length of the span, was 0.2566c. The airfoil profile, the slot and the flap dimensions, and the locations of the flap when deflected are given in figure $1(a)$ and in table I. Figures $1(b)$ to $1(d)$ show the arrangements of the ailerons with their locations and dimensions.

عبد عام م<u>وزيعته</u> م<u>وزيعته</u> معوقات أخورها المستحيل المعالم المواضحة أقل المستحدث المناول عوي باعا المبرار الواعظوا

i

$N.A.C.A.$ Technical Note $No.$ 659 3

General Test Conditions

v

 \div

÷,

The model completely spanned the closed test section of the wind tunnel so that two-dimensional flow was practically attained. The two-dimensional-flow installation in the 7- by 10-foot closed-throat wind tunnel is described in reference 1. The aileron hinge moments were measured with a torque-rod balance.

A dynamic pressure of 16.37 pounds per square foot was maintained for all tests. This dynamic pressure corresponds to an air speed of about 80 miles per hour and to an average test Reynolds Number of 2,190,000.

Measurements of lift, drag, and pitching moment were made for each aileron setting through a complete range of angles of attack up to the stall, with flap deflections (0f) of 0°, 10°, 20°, 30°, 40°, and 50°. The ailer settings (δ_a) were (minus, up; plus, down):

- For the slot-lip aileron, 0° , -5 $^\circ$, -10 $^\circ$, -20 $^\circ$, -30 $^\circ$ -45° , and -60° .
- For the plain aileron, -40° , -30° , -20° , -10° , 0° , 10°, 20°, 30°, 40°, 50°, and 60°
- For the retractable aileron, 0, up 0.033Zc, 0.0667c, and O.10c.

Because of possible structural advantages, narrow-chord retractable ailerons were tested with deflcctions greater than the aileron chords so that a gap was left between the upper surface of the airfoil and the bottom of the aileron. Qne aileron with a chord 0.0667c was tested up 0.10c, and one aileron with a chord 0.0333c was tested up 0.0518c and 0.0686c. The chords of the retractable ailerons were measured along their suspended are.

RESULTS

Airfoil Section Coefficients

The airfoil section coefficients are given in standard nondimensional coefficient form as follows:

4 **N.A.C.A.** Technical Note No. 659

section lift coefficient, l/qc . c_{1} ,

 c_{d} , section profile-drag coefficient, d/qc.

 $c_{m(a,c.)}$ section pitching-moment coefficient about aerodynamic center of airfoil with flap and aileron neutral, m/qc^2 .

- whore I is section lift.
	- d, section profile drag.
	- m, section pitching moment.
	- q, dynamic pressure, $\frac{1}{2}$ ρ V^2 .
	- \mathbf{c} , airfoil chord including flap.
	- α_{Ω} , section angle of attack.

Aileron Coefficients

 ${}^{\mathbb{C}}\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{a}}$, aileron hinge-moment coefficient, $h_a/(q_c a_a)$.

is aileron hinge moment about the aileron

where

ha

c_a, aileron chord.

hinge.

- Sa, aileron area.
- C_L ', rolling-moment coefficient.
- Cn', yawing-moment coefficient.

Rolling-moment and yawing-moment coefficients for a rectangular wing of aspect ratio 6 with one semispan ai leron were computed from the two-dimensional-flow test by the following method:

> $c_{\iota} : = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0071/(\Delta c_{\iota}/\Delta \alpha) \end{bmatrix} \Delta c_{\iota} .$ Ñ C_n ' = C_{n_i} ' + C_{n_0} ' r

where C_{n_1} ['] = -0.180 C_l ['] C_{l_2} , and C_{n_0} ['] = 0.125 A C_{d_0} .

T_

்க

- $dc_7/d\alpha$ is the average of the slopes of the lift curves $(\Delta c_1$ per degree) for the airfoil
with alleron neutral and for the alleron
deflected.
	- with a interesting and for the aileron the aileron terms of the aileron terms of the aileron terms of the ailer o defuncion
adamnimente at any given value of angle of attack α .
	- C_{n_3} ', the induced yawing-moment coefficient produced by the increment of section lift (Δc_i) .
		- the average c_1 of the airfoil when the aileron is deflected on one side.
	- C_{n_0} , the yawing-moment coefficient due to the increment of profile drag $(\Delta c_{d_{\lambda}})$ produced

by the deflected aileron.

(The constants -0.0071 and -0.180 are taken from figure $13(a)$ of reference 6. These constants include the effects of aspect ratio and lift dis-
tribution produced by the deflection of the aileron on one side. The constant 0.125 assumes that the profile drag produced by the aileron is $t_{\rm max}$ tribution produced by the definition of the aile all on the constant of the arrest of spa

that the profile drag μ

Accuracy of Results

Experimental errors in the results presented in this
report are believed to be within the following limits:

 \mathcal{L} experimental errors in the results presented in this presented in this present in this present in this present in this present in the results presented in the results presented in the results present in the resul \mathbf{b} believed to be with the following limits: c_{d_0} = = = = = = = = = = = ± 0.0003 (minimum drag with
 $\delta_f = 0^\circ$) \overline{a} 0.000 \overline{a} ι C\$ ' ±0.005 C_{h_0} + + + + + + + + + + + + ± 0.005 $- - - - - - - - - + 0.5^{\circ}$ α -Cha ± O. 005 c_ ±0.5 °

Flap position $+$ \pm 0,002c

 δ_{a} ---------++

Aileron position $- - + \pm 0.0003c$

No tests were made to determine the effect of flap and aileron fittings on the results. The lift and the drag have been corrected for tunnel-wall effects, as explained in reforence 1. The effects of the fittings and the tunnel corrections probably would nob appreciably change the rolling or the yawing moments given in this report•

The given limits of accuracy do not include any uncertainties in the assumptions used for computing C_{ℓ} and C_n ^t. The same relations, however, were used in this report for all coefficients•

DISCUSSION

Characteristics of Airfoil with Slotted Flap

The section characteristics of the airfoil with aileron neutral and the slotted flap deflected are given as plotted against the curves of c_l , c_{d_o} , and $c_{m(a,c_e)_o}$ section angle of attack α_o in figure 2. These data are given to show the general characteristics of the slotted flap. As previously mentioned, the data were not corrected for the effects of the aileron and the flap fittings.

Aileron Characteristics

The rolling-moment, the yawing-moment, and the hingemoment coefficients computed as previously described are given in the form of curves of the coefficients plotted against aileron deflection. The coefficients are all given for a rectangular wing of aspect ratio 6 with the full-span flap and for a single aileron extending over the entire semispan. The data are given in this form so that all ailerons will be on a convenient basis for comparison.

An indication of aileron performance may be obtained from the wind-tunnel data by consideration of the following factors:

4

يبلغ

N.A.C.A. Technical Note No. 659 7

 \mathcal{C}

1. The value of C_L ^{*i*} should increase with lift coefficient, i.e,, it should increase with angle of attack and with flap deflection so that the airplane will have about the same response for a given control movement regardless of flying attitude,

2. The value of $G_{\tilde{l}}$ 'should increase with ailero deflection, and dC_L ¹/d₈ should be large for small alleron deflections.

3. Lag in rolling motion with control movement should be small, probably less than 1/10 second (reference 7).

4. The values of C_n ' should bo small in any case and preferably favorable (positive when C_1 ^t is positive).

5. The hinge moments of one aileron should be small or of such a nature that they can be counterbalanced against those of the other aileron through a differential linkage.

6. The control force required to deflect the ailerons should be small and should increase uniformly with aileron deflection unless a servocontrol mechanism, such as hydraulic operation of the ailerons, is used.

 S lot-lip aileron.- The rolling-moment coefficients for the slot-llp aileron are unsatisfactory for the condition from $\delta_f = 0^\circ$ to $\delta_f = 20^\circ$ with aileron angles less than 10° because about 10° movement of the ailerons from neutral is required before any appreciable amount of rolling moment is obtained (fig. 3). The lag in rolling mo- \sharp lon with control movement is probably less than 1/10 second, (See references 3 and 4.)

The yawing-moment coefficients are generally adverse (negative) for small aileron deflections and favorable (positive) for large aileron deflections. These moments generally become algebraically less as the flap angle is increased (fig, 3).

The hinge moments required to hold the aileron neutral are large and increase with flap deflections (fig. 4). As the aileron is moved up, the moments change sign and force must be applied to move the aileron higher. The slopes of the curves of C_{h_a} against δ_a are irregular and, for small flap deflections, they change sign. The

N.A.C.A. Technical Note No. 659

fact that the hinge moments are irregular, combined with the condition that only one aileron is moved, necessitates a complicated control linkage if satisfactory stick forcez are to be obtained unless a servocontrol mechanism is used.

Plain aileron.- The rolling-moment coefficients for the plain aileron on the flap decrease with increased flap deflection. A value of C_{ℓ} ¹ of 0.04 (indicated as a minimum satisfactory value in reference 6) or larger may be obtained provided that the flap deflection does not exceed 40° and that both ailerons are deflected (fig. 5).

now a generately be announced and the gallery coefficient (fig. 5). The values of the yawing-moment coefficient (fig. 5) would generally be adverse and large, especially with

The hinge moments are comparatively small for small
flap deflections but they become large with increasing flap deflections (fig. 6). The curves of c_{h_a} against $\delta_{\rm a}$ are fairly regular and, as one aileron is moved down, the other can be made to move up and the moments will balance when $\delta_a = 0^\circ$. Because the hinge moments increase with flap deflection, any appreciable anount of differential would cause overbalance with flaps deflected.

Retractable aileron,- The rolling-moment coefficients for the retractable aileron are satisfactory for flap angles of 40[°] or less (fig. 7). For the flap angle of 50° , the rolling moments are less than those for the 30° fla angle. The yawing moments are favorable for 0 ° angle of attack, becoming less as the angle of attack is increase and at 12° they are adverse except for the condition of $\delta_f = 0^0$, $\delta_{g} = -0.10c$. The hinge moments were not measured because this type of aileron has no aerodynamic hinge moment when the hinge is located at the center of the aileron radius. It appears that a satisfactory "feel" for the control could be obtained by placing the hinge axis slightly below the center of the aileron radius.

Figures 8 and 9 show the effects of using narrowchord retractable ailerons and deflecting them through a range greater than the aileron chord, leaving a gap between the wing and the lower edge of the aileron. In practically all cases the rolling-moment and yawing-moment coefficients wore reduced but the percentage reduction was loss than the reduction in aileron chord. When the gap between the aileron and the wing was too great, a sharp

I

8

break occurred in the lift and drag. This break would show as a sharp discontinuity in the curves of rollingmoment and yawing-moment coefficients if plotted against angle of attack. The break occurred only with the flap deflected and with the 0.0333c aileron deflected 0.0686c. The maximum angle of attack at which the break occurred was 3° with the flap deflected 20^o.

J

 \mathbf{r}

 \vec{z}

The lag in rolling motion with control movement woul probably be less than 1/10 second for a retractable aileron as far back on the wing as those tested. (See reference 3.)

CONCLUDING REMARKS

When all factors are considered with regard to the rolling and the yawing moments produced and of the stick forces desired, the retractable aileron is the only one of the three ailerons tested that would be satisfactory when used in combination with the full-span slotted flap. The retractable aileron may be deflected through a somewhat greater range than its chord with an increase in rolling and yawing moment.

The plain ailerons on the slotted flap were unsatisfactory because of the small rolling moments and large adverse yawing moments produced with large flap deflections. The slot-lip aileron as tested would be unsatisfactory for lateral control because of the ineffectiveness of the ailerons for deflections loss than 10° with small flap deflections. The characteristics of the hinge moments of the plain and the slot-lip ailerons are such that they are likely to cause difficulties in obtaining satisfactory stick forces.

Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory, National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Langley Field, Va., July 14, 1938.

REFERENCES

- I Wenzinger, Carl J., and Harris, Thomas A.: Tests of an N.A.C.A. 23012 Airfoil with Various Arrangements of Slotted Flaps in the Closed-Throat 7- by 10-Foot Wind Tunnel. T.R. No. (to be published), N.A.C.A., 1938.
- $2₁$ Wenzinger. Carl J., and Delano, James B.: Pressure Distribution over an N.A,C.A. 23012 Airfoil with a Slotted and a Plain Flap. T.R. No. 633, N.A.C.A., 1938.
- Weick, Fred E., and Shortal, Joseph A.: Development з. of the N.A.C.A. Slot-Lip Aileron. T.N. No. 547, N.A.C.A,, 1935.
- $4.$ Shortal, Joseph A.: Wind-Tunnel and Flight Tests of Slot-Lip Ailerons. T.R. No. 602, N.A.C.A., 1937.
- $5.$ Shortal, J. A.: Effect of Retractable-Spoiler Location on Rolling- and Yawing-Homent Coefficients. T.N. No. 499, N.A.C.A., 1934.
- $6.$ Weick, Fred E., and Jones, Robert T.: Résumé and Analysis of N.A.C.A. Lateral Control Research. T.R. No. 605, N.A.C.A., 1937.
- $7.$ Soulé, H. A., and McAvoy, W. H.: Flight Investigation of Lateral Control Devices for Use with Full-Span Flaps. T.R. No. 517, N.A.C.A., 1935.

%

ัะ

N.A.C.A. Technical Note No. 659

i
I

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}$.

 \mathcal{F} .

ď

.
Maria 1999 - Persiaan S

N.A.C.A. Technical Note No. 659

Ordinates for Airfoil and Slot Shapes

(Stations and ordinates in percent of airfoil chord)

 11

Ą

 -1.29 -2.05

Lower

 -2.21 -2.36 -2.21 -2.41 \rightarrow \overline{a}

 \overline{a}

 $\ddot{ }$

 \rightarrow

 -2.16 $\overline{}$

 -1.23 -1.70
 -1.3 -.70 -5

.50

 $\frac{3.91}{3.63}$ 3.91 3.63 3.45 1.63 2,43 ---

 \mathbf{y}

÷.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})))$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty} \$ a de la construcción de la constru
En 1930, el construcción de la con

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \math$

 $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ and

F.A.C.A. Technical Note No.659 Fig. 1

.= [

 \mathbf{r}

 \mathcal{U}

सर्−
भ

i

= • **.**

 Γ .

4 v

المستحقق العاملية والمستقررات

Figure 1. - Section view of an W.A.O.A. 23012 **airfoil.**

₹

J

 $\overline{}$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}&=\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{$

ł,

 $\label{eq:1.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2}d\mu d\mu$

 $\tilde{\gamma}$.

 $\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 &$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \begin{array}{lllllllllll} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf$ $\label{eq:3.1} \begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{$ $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n$

Ξf

Figure 2.- Section lift, drag, and pitching-moment coefficients of
N.A.C.A.23012 airfoil with full-span slotted flap.

 ${\tt Fig.2}$

المستشام السا $\label{eq:3.1} \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \$ $\sigma_{\rm{max}}$

 \sim

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ are the set of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ are the set of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ are the set of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

Ē

ina isang katabuni

Ĭ

 \equiv :

 $\frac{1}{2}$

. The continuum of the state of the continuum of the continuum of the continuum of the α

 $\frac{1}{2}$. The contract of the special speci

 $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a subset of the set of the set of the set of the set of \mathcal{G}

 α , where α is the contract of the set of the set of the contract of α , where α

 $\frac{1}{2}$

N.A.C.A. Technical Note No.659

ţ

THE WEST COMMUNIST

ļ

Figs. 7,8,9

 \mathbf{r}

 $\omega_{\rm c}$ ω

 $\epsilon_{\rm{max}}$

 \mathbb{I}

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{1}{\pi}$

Contract of the first contract of

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} + \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} \right) \left(\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} + \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} \right)$

西

 \mathcal{L} and the set of the set of \mathcal{L} .